

# Sample Board Pack v1

## Treasury Continuity Intelligence — Board Discussion Pack

Purpose: help founders, CFOs, DAO treasury committees, and board members discuss whether treasury operations can survive stablecoin, custody, chain, bridge, counterparty, or yield stress.

### Board questions

- What is our largest single treasury failure point?
- Which funds are Operating Cash, Settlement Liquidity, and Risk/Return?
- Which material balances have a tested exit path?
- What happens if our primary custodian freezes withdrawals for 72 hours?
- What happens if a key stablecoin trades below peg?
- What happens if a chain or bridge used for payouts is disrupted?
- Who has authority to act in the first hour?
- What do we tell employees, vendors, customers, delegates, or investors?
- What evidence supports management's assumptions?
- What must be verified this month?

### Sample heatmap

Category	Score	Status	Board interpretation
Stablecoin Issuer Risk	46	Orange	Concentration or redemption-path risk requires action.
Custodian / Exchange Risk	58	Orange	Funds may be safe but inaccessible during provider stress.
Chain / Bridge Risk	62	Yellow	Liquidity may be delayed by chain or bridge dependency.
Yield / Protocol Risk	74	Yellow-Green	Controlled if isolated from runway and monitored.
Governance / Escalation Risk	61	Yellow	Emergency authority needs clearer thresholds.
Recovery Readiness	52	Orange	Recovery routes exist but are not sufficiently tested.

### Board actions to approve

- Treasury bucket policy.
- Concentration limits.
- Exit-route testing schedule.
- Emergency authority matrix.
- Incident trigger list.
- Monthly continuity reporting.

### Decision note

This pack does not recommend allocation, trading, redemption, custody changes, or investment action. It identifies operational continuity gaps that management should verify and govern.