

# Executive One-Page Summary v1

## Ledger Continuity

Treasury continuity intelligence for crypto-native organizations.

### 60-second board view

Item	Sample result	Meaning
Treasury Continuity Score	64 / 100	Fragile but functional; action required before scale or board sign-off.
Recovery Readiness Score	52 / 100	Recovery paths exist in principle but are not sufficiently documented or tested.
Critical Risks	3	Issues that could interrupt access, settlement, governance response, or continuity.
Material Risks	5	Manageable risks needing owners, documentation, or monitoring cadence.
Largest Failure Point	Single stablecoin + primary custody/redemption route	A narrow stack may fail faster than management can respond.
Funds with Tested Exit Path	35%	Only part of exposure has documented/tested fallback route.

### Immediate actions

- Confirm current exposure inventory by stablecoin, chain, venue, custodian, and purpose.
- Document primary and backup exit routes for material funds.
- Verify custody recovery and backup approvers.
- Define emergency governance authority and escalation thresholds.
- Centralize provider terms and incident contacts.
- Establish monitoring cadence and trigger list.

### Why it matters

The most important treasury question is not only whether a stablecoin depegs. It is whether the organization can keep operating if issuer, custody, exchange, chain, bridge, payment, governance, or yield dependencies fail under stress.

### Scope boundary

Independent research and decision support only. No financial advice, legal advice, custody, trading, asset management, wallet access, signing authority, or transaction execution.